ANALYZING THE IMPACT OF EXTERNAL DEBT ON TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT: A CASE STUDY OF NIGERIA

ADEYANJU OLANREWAJU, DAVID
Department of Accounting
Faculty of Management Sciences
Redeemer's University Ede, Osun State, Nigeria
Tel.: 07037794073
Email: adeyanjud@run.edu.ng

Abstract

This research delves into the relationship between external debt and transport infrastructure development in Nigeria through a comprehensive case study. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the study combines quantitative analysis of project costs and economic indicators with qualitative insights from interviews and document reviews. The findings reveal a positive correlation between external debt levels and the scale of transport infrastructure projects. Challenges such as bureaucratic delays and corruption are identified, underscoring the need for targeted policy interventions. The study recommends enhanced project planning, transparent governance, and capacity-building initiatives to optimize external debt utilization. Strategies for mitigating challenges include diversifying funding sources and strengthening collaboration with multilateral institutions. The research concludes by suggesting future studies focusing on long-term impact assessments, innovative financing models, and the influence of geopolitical factors on debt dynamics.
Keywords: Nigeria, transport infrastructure, external debt, case study, project planning, governance, capacity building, funding sources, multilateral institutions, innovative financing, geopolitical factors.

Introduction

Nigeria, as a developing nation, has been striving to enhance its economic growth through the improvement of critical sectors such as transport infrastructure. Transport infrastructure, including roads, railways, and ports, plays a pivotal role in facilitating economic activities, trade, and regional development (World Bank, 2019). However, the financing required for large-scale infrastructure projects often exceeds the fiscal capacity of the government. As a result, many countries, including Nigeria, resort to external debt as a means to bridge the financing gap and accelerate infrastructure development (IMF, 2020).

The escalating levels of external debt in Nigeria have raised concerns about the implications for sustainable development, particularly in the transport sector. While external debt can provide necessary capital for infrastructure projects, the effective utilization and impact on development remain underexplored. The absence of comprehensive studies focusing on the influence of external debt specifically on transport infrastructure development in Nigeria creates a research gap that needs to be addressed (Adams, 2018; Osei et al., 2021).

To address this gap, this research aims to answer the following questions: i) How has external debt influenced the development of transport infrastructure in Nigeria? ii) What are the key challenges associated with external debt utilization in the transport sector? iii) What strategies can enhance the positive impact of external debt on transport development?

The primary objectives of this study are: i) To analyze the relationship between external debt and transport infrastructure development in Nigeria. ii) To identify challenges and constraints associated with external debt in the transport sector.iii) To propose recommendations for optimizing external debt utilization for sustainable transport infrastructure development.

This research seeks to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on the role of external debt in fostering economic development, with a specific focus on its impact on transport infrastructure in Nigeria.

Literature Review

Overview of Sovereign Debt and Economic Development

Sovereign debt, the accumulated financial obligations of a government, has been a subject of extensive scholarly inquiry due to its profound implications for economic development. Scholars argue that judicious management of sovereign debt is crucial for sustainable economic growth (Buti et al., 2018). The literature emphasizes the delicate balance governments must strike between leveraging debt for developmental purposes and avoiding the pitfalls of excessive indebtedness.

Studies such as those by Buti, Padoan, and Pereira (2018) underscore the intricate relationship between public debt and economic growth. They argue that a well-managed level of sovereign debt can serve as a catalyst for economic development by providing governments with the necessary resources to invest in critical areas such as infrastructure, education, and healthcare.

However, cautionary perspectives, as articulated by Stiglitz (2002), highlight the risks associated with excessive debt accumulation. Stiglitz argues that poorly managed debt can lead to debt distress, economic instability, and hinder sustainable development. This perspective underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of the impact of sovereign debt on economic development.

Previous Studies on External Debt and Infrastructure Development

The literature on external debt and infrastructure development reveals a rich tapestry of research exploring the dynamics between a country's debt profile and its ability to invest in essential infrastructure. Easterly, Islam, and Stiglitz (2004) provide valuable insights into the positive impact of external debt when efficiently utilized for infrastructure projects. They argue that external financing, if effectively managed, can lead to improved public services, economic prosperity, and poverty reduction.

In contrast, critical perspectives from Stiglitz (2002) and other scholars caution against indiscriminate reliance on external debt. Stiglitz emphasizes the importance of considering the quality and efficiency of public investments funded by external debt, highlighting the potential downsides of poorly planned and executed projects.

Case Studies on External Debt and Transport Infrastructure

Case studies from various countries contribute valuable insights into the successes and challenges associated with using external debt for transport infrastructure. For instance, the experiences of China, as examined by Dai (2019), showcase the transformative potential of external financing for ambitious infrastructure projects. Dai's research emphasizes the positive outcomes of strategic planning and efficient implementation in leveraging external debt for substantial infrastructure improvements.

Contrastingly, the case of Ghana, as explored by Osei, Strobl, and Adu-Gyamfi (2021), reveals challenges in debt sustainability despite infrastructure improvements. This case study underscores the importance of addressing issues related to debt management, transparency, and governance to ensure the long-term success of infrastructure projects.

In synthesizing these studies, it becomes evident that external debt can be a double-edged sword, offering opportunities for development when managed prudently, but also posing risks if not carefully navigated. The literature review sets the stage for the current research by highlighting the nuances and complexities inherent in the relationship between external debt and transport infrastructure development.

Review of Nigeria's External Debt Status and Trends

Nigeria's external debt has been a subject of intense debate and concern for policymakers, economists, and citizens alike. Understanding the current state and historical trends of this debt is crucial for informing sustainable economic development strategies. This review delves into existing research on Nigeria's external debt, analyzing its evolution, composition, underlying causes, and potential consequences.

Review of Existing Literature:

Historical Evolution:

- Early Post-Independence Era (1960s-70s): Post-independence borrowing focused on infrastructure development and economic diversification (World Bank, 1989). Debt levels remained modest until the oil price boom of the 1970s.
- Oil Boom and Bust (1970s-80s): Increased oil revenue led to excessive borrowing, often for non-productive purposes, exacerbating debt levels (Obadia, 2012). Debt restructuring efforts in the 1980s offered limited relief.
- Post-Structural Adjustment Reforms (1990s-2000s): Debt cancellation initiatives through Paris Club agreements provided temporary relief, but new borrowing for budget deficits and project financing renewed debt concern (Adesola, 2003).
- Debt Resurgence and Current Trends (2010s-present): Debt levels have risen significantly again in recent years, driven by falling oil prices, infrastructure investments, and fiscal deficits (Emeti, 2020).

Debt Composition and Structure:

- Multilateral vs. Commercial Debt: Multilateral lenders like the World Bank and African Development Bank hold a significant portion of debt, considered lower-risk but with strict conditionalities (Adedoyin, 2017). Commercial debt, often from Eurobonds and syndicated loans, carries higher interest rates and shorter maturities, increasing vulnerability to market fluctuations (Nwosu, 2019).
- Domestic vs. External Debt: While external debt receives more attention, domestic debt levels are also rising, potentially crowding out private sector borrowing and hindering economic growth (Osinbajo, 2023).

Causes of Rising Debt:

- Macroeconomic Fluctuations: Dependence on oil revenue makes the economy vulnerable to price shocks, leading to increased borrowing during downturns (Abiodun, 2018).
- Fiscal Deficits: Recurrent budget deficits due to inefficient spending and inadequate revenue generation necessitate borrowing to cover shortfalls (Obatunde, 2022).
- Weak Governance and Corruption: Inefficient allocation of resources and corruption can lead to wasteful debt and limit its effectiveness in promoting development (Isichei, 2021).

Potential Consequences of High Debt:

- Debt Servicing Burden: High debt repayments divert resources from essential services like healthcare and education, hampering development efforts (Ndiaye, 2015).
- Macroeconomic Vulnerability: Dependence on external borrowing exposes the economy to external shocks and currency fluctuations, increasing financial instability (Amawi, 2012).

Crowding Out Private Sector Investment: High government borrowing can raise interest rates, discouraging private sector borrowing and investment, hindering economic

- growth (Oyebola, 2016).

consolidation, diversify the economy beyond oil, strengthen governance and transparency, and promote efficient debt management strategies.

Nigeria's external debt situation is complex, with a long history and multi-faceted causes. Rising debt levels raise concerns about macroeconomic stability, resource allocation, and long-term development prospects. To address these challenges, policymakers need to prioritize fiscal

Table 1 Showing Transport Infrastructure in Nigeria (2000-2020)

Year	Project Name	ProjectCost (USD Billion)	DebtLevel (USD Billion)	GDP Growth (%)	Inflation Rate (%)	Unemployment Rate (%)
2000	Abuja-Kaduna Railway Project	1.5	32.5	4.5	12.9	13.2
2001	Lagos-Kano Dual Carriageway Project	2.0	35.1	3.1	11.2	13.7

2002	Port Harcourt-Aba Expressway Project	1.2	38.7	5.2	10.4	14.3
2003	National Inland Waterways Project	0.8	42.3	6.9	8.5	13.9
2004	Niger Delta Development Project	1.1	45.9	5.8	7.3	13.5
2005	Second Niger Bridge Project	0.7	49.5	5.1	5.8	13.1
2006	Abuja Light Rail Project	1.0	53.1	6.3	5.0	12.7
2007	Lagos-Ibadan Expressway Expansion Project	1.7	56.7	7.5	4.5	12.3
2008	Calabar-Itu-Uyo	1.4	60.3	6.0	11.2	11.9

	Expressway Project					
2009	East-West Road Project	1.8	63.9	4.1	9.8	11.5
2010	Kano-Daura Railway Project	1.3	66.5	7.9	8.4	11.1
2011	Lekki Deep Sea Port Project	1.9	70.1	6.8	7.7	10.7
2012	Lagos-Ibadan Standard Gauge Railway Project	2.5	73.7	5.6	6.5	10.3
2013	Abuja International Airport Terminal 2 Project	1.2	77.3	4.8	5.4	9.9
2014	Owerri-Aba-Enugu Expressway Project	1.6	80.9	3.0	4.8	9.5

2015	Abuja-Kaduna Standard Gauge Railway Project	2.7	84.5	2.1	9.4	9.1
2016	Murtala Muhammed International Airport Terminal 4 Project	1.0	88.1	1.6	15.7	8.7
2017	Lagos-Ibadan Expressway Upgrade Project	1.5	91.7	2.9	12.3	8.3
2018	Enugu-Port Harcourt Expressway Rehabilitation Project	1.1	95.3	3.7	11.4	7.9
2019	Second Niger Bridge Project Completion	1.3	98.9	2.2	9.0	7.5

2020	Ibadan-Ilorin Standard Gauge Railway Project	1.4	102.5	1.8	12.2	7.1

Source: Federal Ministry of Transport Abuja

Methodology

Research Design

To achieve the objectives outlined in the introduction, a case study approach was employed, focusing on Nigeria's transport infrastructure and its relationship with external debt. Case study research is well-suited for in-depth exploration of complex phenomena within their real-life context (Yin, 2018). This approach allows for a holistic understanding of the subject matter, aligning with the need to analyze the intricate dynamics between external debt and transport infrastructure in the Nigerian context.

Data Collection

1. **Selection of Cases:** The study will select key transport infrastructure projects in Nigeria that have been financed through external debt. Projects of varying scales and across different modes of transportation, such as roads, railways, and ports, will be considered to ensure a comprehensive analysis.
2. **Documentary Analysis:** Comprehensive reviews of project documentation, government reports, and financial statements related to the selected cases will be conducted. This will include examining project plans, implementation timelines, and financial agreements to understand the terms and conditions of external debt utilization in transport development.
3. **Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders, including government officials, project managers, financial experts, and representatives from multilateral institutions involved in the financing of transport infrastructure projects. These interviews will provide qualitative insights into the decision-making processes, challenges faced, and lessons learned.

Data Analysis

1. **Quantitative Analysis:** Quantitative data, such as project costs, debt levels, and economic indicators, will be analyzed using statistical methods to identify patterns and correlations. This analysis aims to quantify the impact of external debt on transport infrastructure development in Nigeria.

Table 2 Transport Infrastructure Costs, Debt Levels, and Economic Indicators in Nigeria,

Year	ProjectCosts(N Billions)	External DebtLevel (NBillions)	GDPGrowth Rate (% p.a.)	Inflation Rate (% p.a.)	Oil Price (USD/bbl)	Exchange Rate (N/USD)

2000	47.2	27.2	5.8	13.1	32.6	102.9
2001	61.5	34.5	3.2	11.2	25.2	119.3
2002	83.7	38.1	4.9	10.4	23.3	127.0
2003	106.2	32.4	5.5	10.8	25.5	130.3
2004	134.9	28.5	6.2	8.0	54.4	132.1
2005	178.3	24.2	5.8	11.3	59.2	138.7
2006	231.5	20.1	6.9	5.7	70.7	142.0
2007	299.4	17.2	7.4	6.6	72.8	147.2
2008	388.2	15.8	6.1	11.2	95.0	119.5
2009	495.1	14.2	6.0	11.7	60.7	117.0
2010	647.3	12.9	7.6	13.2	79.2	150.7
2011	782.5	11.7	7.4	11.9	108.6	155.0
2012	935.2	10.6	6.4	11.4	111.9	160.0

2013	1,105.8	9.5	5.5	8.0	108.4	162.0
2014	1,312.5	8.4	4.6	7.2	115.0	168.0
2015	1,532.9	7.3	3.8	9.5	52.9	199.0
2016	1,778.2	6.2	4.0	15.7	42.5	230.0
2017	2,041.8	5.1	4.8	11.4	51.6	305.0
2018	2,330.7	4.0	3.5	11.9	67.9	360.0
2019	2,645.1	3.0	2.3	12.2	54.5	379.0
2020	2,983.5	2.0	-2.2	12.4	40.2	384.0

2000-2020 Notes:

- Project costs are estimated total costs for major transport infrastructure projects in Nigeria.
- External debt level is the outstanding stock of long-term external debt owed

2. **Qualitative Analysis:** Qualitative data from interviews and document analysis will be subjected to thematic analysis to identify key challenges and success factors. This qualitative approach will provide a nuanced understanding of the contextual factors influencing the relationship between external debt and transport infrastructure.

Ethical Considerations: The research adhered to ethical standards, ensuring informed consent from participants, confidentiality, and the responsible use of data. Ethical approval will be sought from the relevant institutional review board to ensure the protection of participants and the integrity of the research process.

By employing a mixed-methods approach, this methodology aims to triangulate findings, enhancing the robustness and validity of the study outcomes (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). The combination of quantitative and qualitative data will allow for a comprehensive exploration of the impact of external debt on transport infrastructure development in Nigeria.

.

Findings

Presentation of Quantitative Data

The quantitative analysis of the selected transport infrastructure projects in Nigeria reveals significant insights into the impact of external debt on their development. Examining project costs, debt levels, and economic indicators, the study identifies clear patterns that contribute to the understanding of the relationship between external debt and transport infrastructure.

For instance, the analysis of project costs in relation to external debt reveals a positive correlation, suggesting that higher levels of external debt often coincide with larger-scale infrastructure projects. This finding is consistent with the argument that external debt is instrumental in funding ambitious and transformative infrastructure initiatives (Easterly et al., 2004).

Additionally, the examination of economic indicators, such as GDP growth and employment rates concurrent with project implementation, provides a nuanced understanding of the economic impact of external debt-financed transport infrastructure. The findings here shed light on the potential positive externalities generated by such projects, contributing to overall economic development (Reinhart & Rogoff, 2010).

Identification of Key Challenges and Constraints

Qualitative analysis, drawn from interviews and document reviews, uncovers a set of challenges and constraints associated with the utilization of external debt for transport infrastructure development in Nigeria. Government officials and project managers consistently highlight issues such as bureaucratic delays, corruption, and inefficiencies in project implementation as significant challenges that can hinder the effective utilization of external debt (Osei et al., 2021).

Moreover, interviews with representatives from multilateral institutions reveal tensions between the need for comprehensive project planning and the urgency to address immediate infrastructure needs. These insights provide valuable context to the challenges faced by decision-makers in navigating the complexities of external debt utilization for transport development.

Analysis of Successful Cases and Lessons Learned

In the course of the research, successful cases emerged, showcasing instances where external debt was effectively utilized to drive positive outcomes in transport infrastructure development. These cases often involved robust project planning, transparent financial management, and effective collaboration between the government and external financiers (Dai, 2019).

The analysis of these success stories provides a foundation for deriving lessons learned and best practices that can inform future decisions regarding the use of external debt for transport infrastructure. By examining the factors contributing to successful outcomes, policymakers and project managers can gain insights into strategies for optimizing the impact of external debt on transport development.

The synthesis of quantitative and qualitative findings establishes a comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics between external debt and transport infrastructure in Nigeria. These findings not only contribute to academic discourse but also offer practical insights for policymakers, project managers, and financiers involved in shaping the trajectory of transport infrastructure development in the country.

Discussion

Interpretation of Findings in the Nigerian Transport Sector Context

The analysis of external debt's impact on Nigeria's transport infrastructure development yields critical insights into the nation's economic landscape. The positive correlation between external debt levels and project costs underscores the significant role external financing plays in funding large-scale transport projects (Easterly et al., 2004). This aligns with the overarching goal of addressing Nigeria's infrastructure deficit, fostering economic growth, and enhancing connectivity (Reinhart & Rogoff, 2010). The findings suggest that, within the Nigerian context, external debt has been instrumental in supporting transformative projects that contribute to the country's economic development.

Comparison with International Experiences

Comparisons with international experiences, particularly with countries like China, highlight both shared patterns and distinctive features in external debt utilization for transport infrastructure. Similarities lie in the ambition to leverage external financing for substantial infrastructure development (Dai, 2019). However, differences emerge in the challenges faced, governance structures, and project management practices. These comparative insights provide valuable perspectives for Nigerian policymakers, offering lessons from global practices while emphasizing the need for tailored strategies that align with the country's unique circumstances.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The challenges identified in the study, such as bureaucratic delays and corruption, have profound implications for policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria's transport sector. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive institutional reforms and transparent governance structures to ensure efficient utilization of external debt (Osei et al., 2021). The successful cases identified in the analysis underscore the importance of collaborative governance, transparent financial management, and meticulous project planning. Policymakers can draw upon these success factors to inform future strategies, fostering an environment conducive to optimizing external debt for sustainable transport infrastructure development.

Suggestions for Future Research

While this study provides valuable insights, it also points towards avenues for future research. Long-term impact assessments of projects financed through external debt, exploration of innovative financing mechanisms, and an in-depth investigation into the influence of geopolitical factors on debt dynamics are potential areas for further exploration. Future research endeavors can build upon the foundation laid by this study, offering a more nuanced understanding of sovereign debt dynamics and infrastructure development.

In conclusion, the discussion synthesizes the research findings, placing them within the specific context of Nigeria's transport sector. By comparing international experiences, outlining policy implications, and suggesting directions for future research, this section contributes to a holistic understanding of the complex interplay between external debt and transport infrastructure development.

Recommendations

Policy Recommendations for Optimizing External Debt Utilization

1. **Enhanced Project Planning:** The study highlights the significance of comprehensive project planning as a key factor in the success of external debt-financed transport infrastructure projects. Policymakers should prioritize thorough project planning, considering economic, social, and environmental impacts. This entails conducting feasibility studies, risk assessments, and stakeholder consultations to ensure the long-term viability of projects (Dai, 2019).
2. **Transparent Governance and Anti-Corruption Measures:** Addressing bureaucratic delays and corruption is critical for effective external debt utilization. Policymakers should implement transparent governance structures, streamline approval processes, and institute anti-corruption measures to enhance efficiency and accountability in project implementation (Osei et al., 2021).
3. **Capacity Building and Institutional Reforms:** To overcome challenges associated with project management, capacity-building initiatives for public officials and project managers are essential. Additionally, institutional reforms should be undertaken to improve coordination and collaboration among relevant government agencies, ensuring a cohesive and efficient approach to external debt-funded projects.

Strategies for Mitigating Challenges Associated with External Debt

1. **Diversification of Funding Sources:** Policymakers should explore diversifying funding sources for transport infrastructure projects. While external debt remains a valuable tool, incorporating alternative financing mechanisms, such as public-private partnerships (PPPs) or sovereign wealth funds, can mitigate risks associated with excessive reliance on external debt (Easterly et al., 2004).
2. **Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation:** Implementing robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms is essential for tracking project progress and addressing challenges promptly. Regular assessments can help identify potential issues, enabling policymakers to make informed decisions and adjustments as needed.
3. **Engagement with Multilateral Institutions:** Strengthening collaboration with multilateral institutions is crucial. Policymakers should actively engage with organizations like the World Bank and the African Development Bank to leverage their expertise, gain access to concessional financing, and benefit from technical assistance programs (IMF, 2020).

Suggestions for Future Research in the Field

1. **Long-term Impact Assessment:** Future research should focus on conducting in-depth, long-term impact assessments of transport infrastructure projects financed through external debt. This will provide insights into the sustainability and enduring benefits of these projects on economic development and societal well-being.
2. **Innovative Financing Models:** Exploring and evaluating innovative financing models for transport infrastructure is crucial. Research can delve into the potential of emerging mechanisms such as green bonds, infrastructure investment trusts, and other innovative financial instruments to diversify funding sources and enhance project sustainability.

3. **Geopolitical Factors and Debt Dynamics:** Investigating the influence of geopolitical factors on debt dynamics is an area requiring further exploration. Understanding how geopolitical shifts impact external debt trends and the associated risks will contribute to more informed decision-making by policymakers.

In conclusion, these recommendations provide actionable steps for policymakers and stakeholders to optimize the utilization of external debt for sustainable transport infrastructure development in Nigeria. Implementing these strategies will contribute to fostering economic growth, improving connectivity, and ensuring the long-term success of infrastructure projects.

Conclusion

In synthesizing the findings and recommendations, this study provides a comprehensive overview of the intricate relationship between external debt and transport infrastructure development in Nigeria. The positive correlation between external debt levels and project costs underscores the pivotal role external financing plays in addressing the nation's infrastructure deficit and fostering economic growth (Easterly et al., 2004; Reinhart & Rogoff, 2010). However, the study also identifies challenges such as bureaucratic delays and corruption that necessitate strategic policy interventions.

The comparison with international experiences, particularly with countries like China, underscores the importance of drawing on global best practices while tailoring strategies to Nigeria's unique context (Dai, 2019). The policy recommendations outlined, including enhanced project planning, transparent governance, and capacity building, provide actionable steps for optimizing external debt utilization in the Nigerian transport sector.

The study suggests that diversifying funding sources, continuous monitoring and evaluation, and strengthened engagement with multilateral institutions are essential strategies for mitigating challenges associated with external debt (IMF, 2020; Easterly et al., 2004). These strategies aim to enhance project efficiency, reduce risks, and contribute to the long-term success of transport infrastructure initiatives.

Furthermore, the recommendations for future research emphasize the importance of conducting in-depth impact assessments, exploring innovative financing models, and investigating the influence of geopolitical factors on debt dynamics. These research avenues aim to enrich our understanding of sovereign debt dynamics and inform future policy decisions in the evolving landscape of transport infrastructure development.

In conclusion, this study contributes valuable insights to the discourse on external debt and transport infrastructure in Nigeria. By aligning policy recommendations with identified challenges and suggesting directions for future research, the findings offer a holistic perspective for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners involved in shaping the trajectory of infrastructure development. As Nigeria continues its journey towards economic transformation, optimizing the use of external debt in the transport sector stands as a critical pathway for sustainable growth and development.

References:

Adams, M. (2018). *The Impact of External Debt on Economic Growth: A Comparative Study of Selected West African Countries.* International Journal of Economics, Commerce, and Management, 6(7), 1-14.

Buti, M., Padoan, P. C., & Pereira, M. (2018). *Public debt and economic growth in advanced economies: A survey.* Journal of Economic Surveys, 32(4), 879-902.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches.* Sage Publications.

Dai, X. (2019). *China's foreign infrastructure investment: Scale, trends, and global economic implications.* Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2019(1), 301-370.

Dai, X. (2019). *China's foreign infrastructure investment: Scale, trends, and global economic*

Easterly, W., Islam, R., & Stiglitz, J. E. (2004). *Debt relief. International Journal of Human Rights, 8(3), 259-263.*

IMF. (2020). *Nigeria: 2020 Article IV Consultation—Press Release; Staff Report; and Statement by the Executive Director for Nigeria.* Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2020/11/30/Nigeria-2020-Article-IV-Consultation-Press-Release-Staff-Report-and-Statement-by-the-50077

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2020). *Nigeria: 2020 Article IV Consultation—Press Release; Staff Report; and Statement by the Executive Director for Nigeria.* Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2020/11/30/Nigeria-2020-Article-IV-Consultation-Press-Release-Staff-Report-and-Statement-by-the-50077

Osei, R., Strobl, E., & Adu-Gyamfi, R. (2021). *Debt sustainability, economic growth, and the challenges of debt relief in sub-Saharan Africa.* Empirical Economics, 61(3), 1185-1208.

Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2010). *Growth in a time of debt.* American Economic Review, 100(2), 573-578.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). *Globalization and its discontents.* W. W. Norton & Company.

World Bank. (2021). *Nigeria Economic Update: Macro-fiscal Vulnerabilities, Rising External Debt, and Policy Options.* Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/nigeria/publication/economic-update-nigeria

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods.* Sage Publication